Attorney's Docket No.: 10559-505001 Applicants: Ernie F. Brickell et al. Intel Docket No.: P8790X

Serial No.: 09/998,549

: November 28, 2001 Filed

Page : 12 of 15

REMARKS

Claims 1, 3 to 11, 13 to 16, 18 to 23, 25 to 29, 31 to 34, and 36 to 40 are pending in this application, of which claims 1, 11, 16, 20, 23, 29, 34 and 38 are the independent claims. Favorable reconsideration and further examination are respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 3 to 16, 18 to 23, 25 to 34, and 36 to 40 continue to stand rejected under 35. U.S.C § 102(b) over Gasser et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,224,163). As shown above, Applicants have amended the independent claims to define the invention in greater clarity. In view of these amendments, withdrawal of the art rejection is respectfully requested.

Amended independent claim 1 is directed to a method that includes receiving, from a delegator, a designation of a role and a delegate to assume the role; receiving, from a credential service provider, an indication that the designation is valid; generating a delegation credential in response to receiving the indication; receiving a request from the delegate for access to a service; providing the delegation credential in response to the request; and sending the delegation credential to a verification service that compares the selected delegation credential to permissible delegation credentials for the delegate.

The applied art is not understood to disclose or suggest the foregoing features of claim 1, particularly with respect to providing a delegation credential in response to a request from a delegate, and sending the delegation credential to a verification service that compares the selected delegation credential to permissible delegation credentials for the delegate.

In this regard, Gassar describes a system in which a user, P, delegates to a workstation, W1 and/or W2, the ability to access files on his behalf. Delegation is performed via "delegation certificates", which are signed by a user's smart card, SC, and then provided to the appropriate

Attorney's Docket No.: 10559-505001 Applicants: Ernie F. Brickell et al. Intel Docket No.: P8790X

Serial No.: 09/998,549

: November 28, 2001 Filed

Page : 13 of 15

workstation. Session keys are also used to ensure that delegations are terminated throughout a chain of workstations to which responsibilities have been delegated. The smart card uses these keys to decode messages to confirm the identities of the workstations (see, e.g., column 15, lines 17 et seg.). Gassar, however, does not disclose or suggest sending a delegation credential to a verification service that compares the selected delegation credential to permissible delegation credentials for the delegate. In this regard, Gassar does state that the system resource, Ws, receives and verifies delegation certificates (see, e.g., column 14, lines 15 to 17). However, this verification is simply verifying the validity of the certificates, not comparing the selected delegation credential to permissible delegation credentials for the delegate.

For at least the foregoing reasons Applicants submit that claim 1 is patentable over Gassar. Independent claims 11, 23 and 29 contain features that are similar to those of claim 1, e.g., sending the delegation credential to a verification service that compares the selected delegation credential to permissible delegation credentials for the delegate. These claims are believed to be patentable for at least the same reasons noted above.

Amended independent claim 16 defines a method that includes receiving, from a delegate, a value corresponding to a confirmation code and an identifier, where the confirmation code and the identifier correspond to a delegator, and the confirmation code is generated by a Web site visited by the delegate. The method also includes identifying the delegator using at least one of the identifier and the confirmation code; assigning, to the delegate, a delegation credential that corresponds to the delegator; and sending a message to the delegator indicating that the delegation credential has been assigned.

Attorney's Docket No.: 10559-505001 Applicants: Ernie F. Brickell et al. Intel Docket No.: P8790X

Serial No.: 09/998,549

: November 28, 2001 Filed

Page : 14 of 15

The applied art is not understood to disclose or to suggest the foregoing features of claim 16, particularly with respect to receiving a confirmation code from a delegate, which is generated by a Web site visited by the delegate, and using the confirmation code to identify the delegate.

In this regard, Applicants do not understand Gassar to describe a confirmation code used to identify a delegate. It was said in the office action (referencing claims 19 and 37) that column 5 of Gassar describes a confirmation code. However, that section of Gassar does not deal with delegation. Columns 13 to 16 of Gassar address delegation (as does the summary). The delegation section of Gassar does not describe a confirmation code to identify a delegate. Notwithstanding this deficiency, Applicants have amended claim 16 to clarify that the confirmation code is generated by a Web site visited by the delegate. There is no mention whatsoever in Gassar of using a Web site to generate a confirmation code to identify a delegate.

For at least the foregoing reasons, claim 16 is believed to be patentable over Gassar. Amended independent claims 20, 34 and 38 contain limitations that are similar to claim 16, e.g., receiving a confirmation code from a delegate, which is generated by a Web site visited by the delegate, and using the confirmation code to identify the delegate. These claims are also believed to be allowable for at least the same reasons noted above.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicants submit that the entire application is now in condition for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested at the Examiner's earliest convenience.

All correspondence should be directed to the below address. Applicants' attorney can be reached by telephone at the number shown below.

Applicants: Ernie F. Brickell et al.

Serial No.: 09/998,549

Filed

: November 28, 2001

Page

: 15 of 15

No fee is believed to be due for this Amendment; however, if any fees are due, please apply such fees to Deposit Account No. 06-1050 referencing Attorney Docket 10559-505001.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney's Docket No.: 10559-505001

Intel Docket No.: P8790X

Date: Vaj U, Wo

ATTORNEYS FOR INTEL Fish & Richardson P.C. 225 Franklin Street Boston, MA 02110-2804 Telephone: (617) 542-5070

Facsimile: (617) 542-8906

20853790.doc

Paul A. Pysher

Reg. No.\40,780